

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Minnesota

United States of America

v.

Domonick Deshay
Wright

Case No: 17-CR-00301 (JMB/DTS)

USM No: _____

Date of Original Judgment: 10/21/2019

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Keala C. Ede

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (*as reflected in the last judgment issued*) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

The Defendant is ineligible for a sentence reduction because the Amendment is not applicable to the defendant, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(a)(2)(A). Specifically, the Court sustained the Defendant's objection at sentencing and did not apply an increase for status points, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1(d) (now (e)).

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 10/21/2019 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 06/21/2024

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)



Judge's signature

Jeffrey M. Bryan, U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.
(Not for Public Disclosure)

Domonick Deshay Wright

DEFENDANT: _____

CASE NUMBER: 17-CR-00301 (JMB/DTS)

DISTRICT: District of Minnesota

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Total Offense Level: _____ Amended Total Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____ Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See *Chavez-Meza v. United States*, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018))

Print

Save As...

Add Attachment

Reset